

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/614,635	07/12/2000	Ulrich Sigmund	RAV10009	RAV10009 2264	
22862	7590 04/06/2005		EXAMINER		
GLENN PATENT GROUP			WOOD, WILLIAM H		
	N WAY, SUITE L RK, CA 94025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
mende man, em 51022			2193 DATE MAIL ED: 04/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/614,635	SIGMUND, ULRICH		
Examiner	Art Unit		
William H. Wood	2193		

	William H. Wood	2193						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress ~					
THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amenda condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearmentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abanement, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR ereply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applic which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation in st for Continued					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	•	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In					
b) Light The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appe was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 C has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time peri AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	is of the date of filing t	the Notice of					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		codusc					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	` ,					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 10-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Newly added limitations must be further considered, as it standard compiler techniques of a front and back end sy which is later formed into a specific output code. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	ndicated above. Further, it is noted stem. Such a system provides an	d both Benson and Ah internal abstract repr	o describe					
13. Other:	(1 10/05/00 01 1 10-1 1-10) Fapel I	10(0).						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added "non-processor specific" and "final code" would require further consideration and possibly search.

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Kacan- Co.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100